Making Science and Research Guide Changes in Neonatal Resuscitation

Fernando R. Moya, M.D.

Director Neonatology, BCWCH Clinical Professor of Pediatrics, UNC

Dr. Moya has nothing to disclose

Neonatal Resuscitation Program

• Interest began in late 1970s and early 1980s

• 1985: joint AAP/AHA committee to develop a training program in neonatal resuscitation

• First NRP textbook (1987): based on consensus opinions of leaders in neonatology based on "accepted" practice

• First 2-day NRP course occurred Nov 1987

• Now recommendations increasingly based on higher level of evidence



Ron Bloom



Cathy Cropley



Routine care Provide warmth Clear airway if nec Dry Ongoing evaluation athing or cryis Good tone? No ear airway if n dry, stimulate HR below 100, gasping, or apnea? 30 sec 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 60 sec Latest NRP Yes reductal Spo, ar Birth 60%-65% 65%-70% 70%-75% 75%-80% 80%-85% 85%-95% PPV, Spo, monit Spo, monitoring Consider CPAP . Guideline 1 min 2 min 3 min 4 min 5 min 10 min HR below 100? Yes Take ventilation corrective steps Postresuscitati care HR below 60? Yes Consider intubation Chest compressions Coordinate with PPV HR below 60? *** () IV epinephrine © 2010 American Heart As

Avoiding Heat Loss

- Premature, especially VLBW infants continue to be at risk for hypothermia
- Association between hypothermia and increased mortality in premature newborns
- Hypothermia also adds to the morbidity of the preterm neonate
 - hypoglycemia, respiratory distress, hypoxia, metabolic acidosis, and coagulation defects

Avoiding Heat Loss

Recommendations:

- Increase temperature of delivery room (74-76°F)
- Preheat radiant warmer
- Transport in a pre-warmed incubator
- Consider placing infant in a re-closable polyethylene bag (if < 30 weeks EGA)
- Use exothermic mattress

Avoid hyperthermia

Avoiding Heat Loss

Use of plastic bags or plastic wrapping

- 2 RCT's and 3 Observational studies with retrospective controls confirm efficacy of this technique, in addition to customary radiant heat, to improve admission temperature of premature infants compared with standard care
- Full resuscitation and conventional care can continue unhindered once the neonate is in the bag
- Small risk of hyperthermia



- Two subsequent study periods, N= 112
- Used Heater/Humidifer along with Neopuff
- Plastic wrap before drying for < 30 weeks GA





Association Between Esophageal Temperature and Outcome for Control Infants*

| Esophageal Temperature | Death or Disability | Death | Disability |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| (°C) | N = 99 | N = 99 | N = 65 |
| Highest | 4.0 | 6.2 | 1.8 |
| quartile | (1.5 – 11.2) | (2.1 – 17.9) | (0.4 – 8.2) |
| Median | 3.3 | 5.9 | 1.0 |
| | (0.9 – 11.2) | (1.5 – 22.7) | (0.2 – 5.1) |

*Adjusted for race, gender, level of encephalopathy, gestational age Odds ratio per °C increase (95% confidence interval)

Positive Pressure Ventilation

Which device to use for PPV?

Randomized study of 120 health professionals using low compliance Mannequin aiming for 20/5 pressures



Which device to use for PPV?

Randomized study of 120 health professionals using low compliance Mannequin aiming for 20/5 pressures

| Experience; NR per year | n | SI-bags | SI-bags | | T-piece device | T-piece device | | |
|---------------------------|----|---------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|--|
| | | Median | IQR ₂₅₋₇₅₈ | p-Value | Median | IQR25-75% | p-Value | |
| PIP (cm H ₂ O) | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 60 | 28,6 | 20,6 | 0,275 | 19,8 | 0,5 | 0,187 | |
| 1-2 | 26 | 22,9 | 16 | | 19,7 | 0,5 | | |
| >2 | 34 | 19,3 | 19,3 | | 19,7 | 0,8 | | |
| Vt (ml) | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 60 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 0.239 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 0.859 | |
| 1-2 | 26 | 4,8 | 3,1 | | 3,6 | 0,8 | | |
| >2 | 34 | 4.1 | 3,4 | | 3,6 | 0,6 | | |

Roehr C et al, Resuscitation 2010













| Recent trials of CPAP and Surfactant | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | COIN | SUPPORT | CURPAP | | |
| N | 610 | 1316 | 208 | | |
| GA (weeks) | 25-28+6 | 24-27+6 | 25 to 28+6 | | |
| Any AS | 94% | 96-97% | 96-98% | | |
| Exclusion | Not breathing at 5 min Intubation No resp.support or O2 | No consent Malformations | Apgar <3 @ 5min Intubation Poor Resp. Drive | | |
| Intervention | Nasal CPAP of 8cm or intubation + MV | Nasal CPAP of 5cm or Intubation + surfactant | Prophylactic surfactant or CPAP 6-7cm | | |
| Surfactant | Only after intubation and based on local protocols | | Prophylactic or if FiO2 > 40% | | |
| MV intubation | FiO2 > 60% Apnea PCO2> 60 and pH <7.25 | FiO2 > 50% PCO2>65 Low BP with treatment | FiO2 > 40% Apnea PCO2> 65 and pH <7.2 | | |
| MV extubation | Not mandated and based on local protocols | FiO2<50%/35% MAP <10/8 PCO2 <65/50 | FiO2 < 40% MAP < 7-8 PCO2< 65 and pH >7.2 | | |

CPAP or Intubation for Very Preterm Infants – The COIN Trial

| | CPAP N= 307 | INTUBATION N= 303 | OR (95% CI) or P | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Intubation rate in CPAP group | 55% / 40% | 100% | | | | |
| Death before 36 wks | 6.5% | 5.9% | 1.10 (0.57-2.12) | | | |
| Death or O2 at 36 wks | 33.9% | 38.9% | 0.80 (0.58-1.12) | | | |
| Surfactant treatment | 38% 57 | 77% | <0.001 | | | |
| Pneumothorax | 9.1% | 3.0% | 0.001 | | | |
| Median days intubated and ventilated | 3 (0-11) | 4 (1-14) | <0.001 | | | |
| Morley C. et al, New Engl J Med 358: 700, 2008 | | | | | | |

| CPAP or Intubation + Surfactant for Very Preterm Infants – SUPPORT Trial | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | CPAP N= 663 | SURFACTANT N= 653 | OR (95% CI) or P | | | |
| Gest. Age | 26.2 <u>+</u> 1.1 | 26.2 <u>+</u> 1.1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Death by 36 wks | 14.2% | 17.5% | 0.81 (0.63-1.03) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Death or BPD at 36 wks | 48.7% | 54.1% | 0.91 (0.83-1.01) |
| Surfactant treatment | 67% <mark>83</mark> | 99% | <0.001 |
| Any air leak to 14 days | 6.8% | 7.4% | 0.89 (0.60-1.32) |
| Median days intubated and ventilated | 10 (2-32) | 13 (2-36) | <0.05 |

Finer N. et al, New Engl J Med 362: 1970, 2010

Prophylactic or Early Selective Surfactant with CPAP –CURPAP Trial

| | PROPHYLACTIC SURFACTANT N= 105 | CPAP and SURFACTANT N= 103 | OR (95% CI) or P |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Gest. Age | 27.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | 27.0 <u>+</u> 1.0 | |
| Death by 36 wks | 6.5% | 5.9% | 1.10 (0.57-2.12) |
| Survival in RA at 36 wks | 78.1% | 78.6% | 0.99 (0.86-1.14) |
| Surfactant treatment | 100% 75 | 48.5% | <0.001 |
| Pneumothorax | 6.7% | 1.0% | 6.82 (0.86-53.7) |
| Need for mechanical ventilation first 5 d | 31.4% | 33.0% | 0.95 (0.64-1.41) |
| Sandri F. | et al, Pediatrics 125: | e1402, 2010 | |

| Randomized trial of Initial Respiratory Management of Preterm Infants | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Proph. Intubate+ Surf+ NCPAP Surfactant Extubate | | | | | |
| N | 209 | 216 | 223 | | | |
| GA | | 26-28+6 weeks | | | | |
| Any AS | 98.6 % | 98.6 % | 98.7 % | | | |
| Exclusion | Life Threate | ning Condition or Ma | alformations | | | |
| Intervention | Intubation + surfactant | Intubation + surfactant Extubate 15-30 min if O2 < 60% | NCPAP 5-7cm | | | |
| Surfactant | After intubation and Within 5-15 min | After intubation and Within 5-15 min | Only after intubation | | | |
| Intubation | Intubation In delivery room In delivery room FiO2 > 40% Apnea PCO2> 65 | | | | | |
| MV extubation | DN FiO2< 30%, MAP < 7 After stable for 6 hours | | | | | |
| Dunn M et al. Pediatrics 2011 | | | | | | |

Randomized trial of Initial Respiratory Management of Preterm Infants

| | Prophylactic Surfactant N= 209 | Intubation Surf + Extubate N= 216 | NCPAP N= 223 |
|-------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| Surfactant | 98.6 % | 98.2 % | 45.1 % |
| Mech. Ventilation | 100 % | 59.3 % | 52.3 % |
| Pneumothorax | 4.8 % | 3.2 % | 5.4 % |
| unn M et al, Ped | 100% 80% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 95 PS ISX 10% 10% 9% PS ISX | NCPAP | |







| Pulse Oximetry Prevention of hyperoxia | | | | | |
|--|---------------|------------------------|--------|--|--|
| SpO ₂ * | Number | % PO ₂ >100 | Range | | |
| 99 | 15 | 80 | 80-230 | | |
| 98 | 27 | 22 | 65-140 | | |
| 97 | 76 | 11 | 50-200 | | |
| 96 | 87 | 8 | 50-140 | | |
| 95 | 91 | 9 | 50-130 | | |
| 94 | 73 | 3 | 55-105 | | |
| 93 | 53 | 0 | 50-90 | | |
| * Fraction | al saturation | | | | |

Cochran and Shaw, Eur J Ped 154: 222, 1995



Oxygen for Neonatal Resuscitation Moderately asphyxiated term infants

| | Controls | RAR | OxR | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | N= 26 | N= 19 | N= 21 | | |
| GA | 39.4 <u>+</u> 2.1 | 38.6 <u>+</u> 1.7 | 40.2 <u>+</u> 0.8 | | |
| BW (Kg) | 3.25 <u>+</u> 0.24 | 3.38 <u>+</u> 0.31 | 3.19 <u>+</u> 0.24 | | |
| FHR <80 | 2/26 | 10/19* | 12/21* | | |
| Intubation | 0/26 | 0/19 | 0/26 | | |
| Apgar 1 min | 8 (7-9) | 4 (2-6)* | 4 (1-6)* | | |
| Apgar 5 min | 9 (8-9) | 8 (7-9) | 7 (5-8)* | | |
| * P< 0.01 Vento M. et al, Pediatrics 107: 642, 2001 | | | | | |





Systematic review of resuscitation with air or oxygen Death at latest follow up

| Study | Room air n/N | 100% oxygen n/N | Relative Risk (Fixed) 95% Cl | Weight (%) | Relative Risk (Fixed) 95% Cl |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Ramji 1993 | 3/42 | 4/42 | | 3.9 | 0.75 [0.18, 3.15] |
| Ramji 2003 | 26/210 | 40/221 | | 38.0 | 0.68 [0.43, 1.08] |
| Saugstad 1998 c | 40/288 | 61/321 | - | 562 | 0.73 [0.51, 1.05] |
| Vento 2003 | 1/76 | 2/75 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2.0 | 0.49 [0.05, 5.33] |
| Total (95% CI) Total events: 70 (Room ai | 616 ir), 107 (100% oxygen) | 659 | * | 100.0 | 0.71 [0.54, 0.94] |
| Test for heterogeneity chi | -square=0.15 df=3 p= | 0.99 1?? =0.0% | | | |
| Test for overall effect z=2 | .42 p=0.02 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 | | |
| | | | Favours room air 🛛 Favours 100% oxyg | en | |

Cochrane Library, 2009

Resuscitation with air or oxygen Long term neurodevelopmental outcome

| Study | Room air 100% oxygen | | Relative Risk (Fixed) | Weight | Relative Risk (Fixed) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| | n/N | n/N | 95% CI | (%) | 95% CI |
| 01 Cerebral palsy in those | followed up at 18-24 | months | | | |
| Saugstad 1998 c | 9/91 | 9/122 | | 100.0 | 1.34 [0.55, 3.24] |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 91 | 122 | | 100.0 | 1.34 [0.55, 3.24] |
| Total events: 9 (Room air), | , 9 (100% oxygen) | | | | |
| Test for heterogeneity: not | t applicable | | | | |
| Test for overall effect z=0. | 65 p=0.5 | | | | |
| 02 Not walking in those fo | blowed up at 18-24 m | onths | | | |
| Saugstad 1998 c | 10/91 | 13/122 | | 100.0 | 1.03 [0.47, 2.25] |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 91 | 122 | | 100.0 | 1.03 [0.47, 2.25] |
| Total events: 10 (Room air | r), 13 (100% oxygen) | | | | |
| Test for heterogeneity: not | t applicable | | | | |
| Test for overall effect z=0. | 08 p=0.9 | | | | |
| 03 No words in those folk | owed up at 18-24 mor | nths | | | |
| Saugstad 1998 c | 6/91 | 3/122 | | 100.0 | 2.68 [0.69, 10.44] |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 91 | 122 | | 100.0 | 2.68 [0.69, 10.44] |
| Total events: 6 (Room air) | , 3 (100% oxygen) | | | | |
| Test for heterogeneity: not | t applicable | | | | |
| Test for overall effect z=1. | 42 p=0.2 | | | | |
| 04 "Abnormal developme | nt" in those followed u | up at 18-24 months | | | |
| Saugstad 1998 c | 14/91 | 12/122 | | 100.0 | 1.56 [0.76, 3.22] |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 91 | 122 | - | 100.0 | 1.56 [0.76, 3.22] |
| Total events: 14 (Room air | r), 12 (100% oxygen) | | | | |
| Test for heterogeneity: not | t applicable | | | | |
| Test for overall effect z=1. | 21 p=0.2 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Cochran | ie Libra | rv, 2009 | 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 | | |
| | | | Favours room air Favours 100% oxyg | en | |

Resuscitation with air or oxygen HIE stage 2-3

| Study | Room air n/N | 100% oxygen n/N | Relative Risk (Fixed) 95% Cl | Weight (%) | Relative Risk (Fixed) 95% Cl |
|--|--|--------------------|---|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Ramji 1993 | 4/42 | 2/42 | | 1.9 | 2.00 [0.39, 10.34] |
| Ramji 2003 | 36/210 | 55/221 | - | 49.8 | 0.69 [0.47, 1.00] |
| Saugstad 1998 c | 47/288 | 55/321 | + | 48.3 | 0.95 [0.67, 1.36] |
| Total (95% CI) | 540 | 584 | • | 100.0 | 0.84 [0.65, 1.08] |
| Total events: 87 (Room a' Test for heterogeneity chi Test for overall effect z=1 | ir), 112 (100% oxygen) -square=2.63 df=2 p≓(.34 p=0.2 | 0.27 1?? =23.8% | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 0. I 0.2 0.5 I 2 5 IO Favours room air Favours 100% oxygen | | |
| Cochrane | Library. | 2009 | | | |



What Concentration of Oxygen to Use In DR Resuscitation of Preterm Infants?

- Not enough data available yet
- Several randomized studies have shown That it is feasible to start with oxygen < 100%
- It is imperative to be able to blend oxygen and to monitor saturations
- Currently we start at 30% for infants < 30 wk
- Increases based on saturation targets and monitoring

Major Changes in NRP

- Initial assessment includes breathing/crying and tone, NOT color
- Bulb suctioning for obstructed airway or PPV
- Every delivery room should be able to:
 - Have the ability to blend oxygen
 - Provide pulse oximetry
- Begin resuscitation of term infants with air
- The oxygen concentration to be used for preterm infants is not known but often needs to be above 30% initially
- Time for intubation up to 30 seconds
- Intubate before giving chest compressions
- Preferred route for epinephrine is UVC

Outcome of ELBW infants who received CPR in DR

Table II. Short-term and long-term outcomes of infants who received DR-CPR compared with infants who did not receive DR-CPR

| | DR-CPR | | No DR-CPR | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|-----------|------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | n* | % | n* | % | Unadjusted P value | Adjusted OR [†] (95% CI) |
| Short-term outcomes | | | | | | |
| Death <12 hours | 1333 | 16% | 7352 | 4% | <.0001 | 3.69 (2.98-4.57) |
| Early-onset sepsis | 1118 | 4% | 7025 | 2% | <.0001 | 2.10 (1.48-2.99) |
| Pneumothorax | 1119 | 12% | 7027 | 9% | .0002 | 1.28 (1.04-1.58) |
| Pulm hemorrhage | 1119 | 13% | 7027 | 9% | .0009 | 1.21 (0.98-1.48) |
| PDA | 1116 | 46% | 7028 | 43% | .15 | 0.98 (0.85-1.12) |
| Grade 3 or 4 IVH | 986 | 25% | 6139 | 16% | <.0001 | 1.47 (1.23-1.74) |
| PVL by 36 weeks | 971 | 6% | 6434 | 5% | .06 | 1.13 (0.84-1.51) |
| Late-onset sepsis | 1116 | 38% | 7020 | 35% | .06 | 0.95 (0.83-1.09) |
| NEC stage 2 or 3 | 1117 | 10% | 7028 | 10% | .56 | 0.82 (0.66-1.02) |
| Postnatal steroids | 1119 | 44% | 7023 | 35% | <.0001 | 1.20 (1.05-1.38) |
| BPD (0 ₂ at 36 weeks) | 796 | 58% | 5858 | 46% | <.0001 | 1.34 (1.13-1.59) |
| Hospital death ≤120 days | 1333 | 42% | 7352 | 21% | <.0001 | 2.22 (1.93-2.57) |
| Long-term outcomes | | | | | | |
| Death by follow-up | 1332 | 44% | 7338 | 24% | <.0001 | 2.06 (1.79-2.37) |
| NDI | 579 | 44% | 4389 | 35% | .0001 | 1.23 (1.02-1.49) |
| NDI or death [‡] | 1167 | 72% | 6127 | 54% | <.0001 | 1.70 (1.46-1.99) |
| MDI <70 | 587 | 36% | 4420 | 29% | .0003 | 1.20 (0.98-1.45) |
| PDI <70 | 574 | 29% | 4375 | 19% | <.0001 | 1.59 (1.29-1.96) |
| Moderate or severe CP | 628 | 10% | 4716 | 6% | .0002 | 1.64 (1.22-2.20) |
| Blind in both eyes | 624 | 0.3% | 4717 | 0.8% | .22 | 5 |
| Hearing aid in both ears | 627 | 3% | 4694 | 1% | .009 | 1.92 (1.12-3.27) |

Wyckoff M et al, J Pediatrics 2012

When Should Resuscitation Efforts Be Stopped?

- The outcome of term babies with very low Apgar scores beyond 10 minutes in spite of resuscitation efforts is very poor
- Most of the benefit of therapeutic hypothermia has been seen in infants with moderate degrees of HIE